Alim Foster (Plaintiff), : United States District Court

v. : Eastern District of Pennsylvania

VisionQuest National LTD, (Defendant) : No. 22-cv-682

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Filed on Behalf of the Plaintiff

Counsel of Record for This Party:

D. Wesley Cornish, Esquire PA ID# 310865

cornerstonelegalgroup@gmail.com

Cornerstone Legal Group, LLC 230 South Broad Street, 17th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

(P) 212-444-2039 (F) 212-535-7365

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Alim Foster (Plaintiff), : United States District Court

v. : Eastern District of Pennsylvania

VisionQuest National LTD, (Defendant) : No. 22-cv-682

Second AMENDED COMPLAINT

AND NOW come the Plaintiff, Alim Foster, via his attorney, David Wesley Cornish, Esquire and Cornerstone Legal Group, files the within Complaint and in support thereof avers the following:

I. Introduction

- 1. Treatment and rehabilitation centers have an inherent responsibility to maintain honesty, integrity, and inclusiveness within their walls. These treatment facilities perform an important job of taking at-risk youth and guiding them towards a productive future, cultivating senses of hope, friendliness, and enthusiasm that the clients will take with them once they leave the facility.
- 2. This inherent responsibility is shattered once such a facility fails to maintain such an environment. More emphatically, an even greater evil is committed when the treatment and rehabilitation center play an active and direct role by promoting and allowing reprehensible methods of rehabilitation. These methods, when condoned either by action or inaction by these centers, irreparably harms those at-risk individuals who willingly, or unwillingly, enter into these facilities seeking help.
- 3. The young, at-risk clients placed under the care of VisionQuest National, LTD ("VisionQuest"), which was a facility operated and staffed by all the named Defendants, who sought treatment and behavioral health services suffered an immeasurable amount of trauma and mistreatment due to the inexcusable culture VisionQuest cultivated, embodied by its employees, staff, agents, contractors, and volunteers.

4. The Plaintiff Foster brings claims against the above-named Defendant for Respondeat Superior, negligence, negligent hiring, supervision, and retention.

II. Parties

- 5. Plaintiff, Alim Foster is an adult individual and resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- Defendant, VisionQuest National LTD with a corporate headquarters office at 4400 East Broadway Boulevard Suite 501 Tucson, AZ 85711 and in Pennsylvania at corporate headquarters 150 East Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 430 Downingtown, PA 19335.
- 7. The young, at-risk clients placed under the care of VisionQuest National, LTD,

 ("VisionQuest") including Plaintiff who sought treatment and behavioral health services

 suffered an immeasurable amount of trauma and mistreatment due to the inexcusable

 culture VisionQuest cultivated, embodied by its supervisors, employees, and staff.
- 8. The above-named Plaintiff brings claims against the above-named Defendant for Respondent Superior, negligence, and negligent hiring, supervision, and retention.

III. Facts

9. VisionQuest receives "clients" as part of a referral program wherein delinquent youths are legally sent to a specific VisionQuest facility as part of a rehabilitation or treatment process. VisionQuest conducts a *Prospective Client Interview* which includes the reason for the referral and determines, based on other factors, whether the client is fit for the recommended program. VisionQuest is a treatment center that serves almost exclusively legally referred clients. VisionQuest had numerous facilities across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a corporate headquarters in Chester County.

Alim Foster's Experience

- 10. Plaintiff was placed at VisionQuest.
- 11. Plaintiff was housed for classification and assignment purposes to a specific program for a portion of his commitment to VisionQuest at their Chester County facility, near Embreeville, Pennsylvania.
- 12. Plaintiff avers Defendant knew or should have known that its employee(s) had committed acts of abuse upon one or more of the other residents of its facility(ies) prior to the time that plaintiff herein was subjected to the acts of sexual abuse that are the subject of this filing.
- 13. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was a victim of sexual abuse during his time at Defendant VisionQuest's facility by its staff members.
- 14. Plaintiff describes the following incidents involving sexual assault while he was housed at the Defendant's facility:
 - Plaintiff was court ordered to live at VisionQuest in approximately 2004-2006.
 - ii. While housed at VisionQuest, Plaintiff was sexually assaulted by a staff member within his unit.
 - iii. Plaintiff does not recall this staff member's name, only they were assigned to his unit to supervise him.
 - iv. Plaintiff claims this staff member touched his penis and testicles and fondled him underneath his clothing.
 - v. Plaintiff claims this same staff member sexually assaulted him in a similar manner as previously described on multiple occasions.

- vi. No other students were present when Plaintiff was sexually assaulted by this staff member on any of the multiple occasions.
- vii. The staff member who sexually assaulted him, tried to prevent Plaintiff from reporting the abuse to any other individuals, including his family, staff members, or employees.
- 15. Specifically, regarding staff member(s) <u>Unit Staff Member</u> it is averred the physical contact made with Plaintiff's genitals was indecent contact for the purpose of arousing the aforementioned individual.
- 16. The supervisors, employees, administrators, and/or staff members implicated who directly caused the harm to Plaintiff and/or threatened Plaintiff were acting within the scope of their duties as counselors and caretakers to Plaintiff.
- 17. It is averred Defendant's staff, supervisors, administrators, and employees actively discouraged and prevented disclosure to third-parties about any claims of child abuse against any staff member at any facility operated by the Defendant.

Count 1 - Negligence (Foster v. VisionQuest)

- 18. Plaintiff hereby incorporate all preceding paragraphs by reference as if set forth fully herein.
- 19. The Defendant and its staff members had a duty to report child abuse when a reasonable belief exists it occurred, and the Defendant breached this duty and failed to notify the proper authorities about the Plaintiff's abuse as required by 55 Pa.C.S.A. §3680 <u>et seq</u>; 55 Pa.C.S.A. §3800 <u>et seq</u>; and all other applicable child abuse reporting requirement laws related to mandatory abuse reporters and applicable to Defendant's facility.

- 20. The damages sustained by Plaintiff Foster were directly, and proximately caused by the carelessness, negligence, and/or recklessness of the Defendant at the VisionQuest facility in the following particulars:
 - a. In failing to maintain a reasonably safe environment for the patients and juveniles of the facility;
 - In failing to recognize, stop, and deter the employees and staff created an
 environment where employees and staff were allowed to perform the activities
 alleged in this pleading;
 - c. In allowing staff and employees who Defendant knew or reasonably should have known to be inappropriate to have access to juvenile students and clients who are at-risk and who are in a position of inferiority;
 - d. In failing to meet the required standards of care of a mental health and juvenile treatment and/or rehabilitation facility pursuant to 55 Pa.C.S.A. §3680 *et seq*; 55 Pa.C.S.A. §3800 *et seq*; and all other related child abuse mandatory reporting requirement laws applicable to child residential facilities, while Plaintiff was in its care;
 - e. In dismissing legitimate claims of abuse by Plaintiff and other students similarly situated who had been abused in Plaintiff's presence;
- 21. As the sole, direct, legal, and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant at the VisionOuest facility, as aforesaid, Plaintiff has suffered the following injuries:
 - a. Plaintiff has been injured in the form of post-traumatic stress reactions, including adverse reactions to intrusive images, and feels sporadic emotional pain stemming from the sexual abuse;

- b. Plaintiff's social ability has been injured to the extent that Plaintiff cannot, or has difficulty with maintaining trust of others, forming friendships and relationships, and continues to isolate himself;
- 22. As the sole, direct, legal, and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant at the VisionQuest facility, as aforesaid, Plaintiff has suffered the following damages:
 - a. Immense on-going embarrassment and humiliation;
 - b. Loss of self-esteem and shame;
 - c. Emotional distress;
 - d. Nightmares, loss of sleep, anxiety and other physical manifestations of the injuries caused by the events described above.
 - e. Aggravation and/or exacerbation of pre-existing mental anguish and trauma;
 - f. Severe mental anguish and trauma;
 - g. Anxiety, depression, nausea and loss of sleep;
 - h. A loss of enjoyment of life;
 - i. A loss of the ability to form relationships and inability to trust others;
 - j. A loss of earnings and earning capacity during those periods Plaintiff was unable to work due to trauma and;
 - k. Loss of educational opportunities.
- 23. This incident was caused solely by the carelessness, negligence, and/or recklessness of Defendant and Plaintiff's injuries were in no manner or part whatsoever due to any act or failure on part of Plaintiff.
 - WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Foster demands judgement against Defendant, VisionQuest National LTD, for an amount in excess of the applicable Arbitration Limits, plus costs

and interest for all types of damages legally recoverable including but not limited to compensatory, special, exemplary, and punitive damages.

Count 2 - Negligent Supervision, Hiring, and Retention (Foster v. VisionQuest)

- 24. Plaintiff hereby incorporate all preceding paragraphs by reference as if set forth fully herein.
- 25. The Defendant and its staff members, supervisors, and administrators had a duty to report child abuse when a reasonable belief exists it occurred, and the Defendant breached this duty and failed to notify the proper authorities about the Plaintiff's abuse as required by 55 Pa.C.S.A. §3680 *et seq*; 55 Pa.C.S.A. §3800 *et seq*; and all other applicable child abuse reporting requirement laws related to mandatory abuse reporters and applicable to Defendant's facility.
- 26. The conduct of the staff during its interactions with the Plaintiff and the actions of the staff against other juvenile students within Plaintiff's view was so outrageous it shocks the conscious.
- 27. The staff members' conduct, especially <u>Unit Staff Member</u>, in assaulting Plaintiff Foster, is so outrageous it shocks the conscious.
- 28. The Defendant gave improper and/or ambiguous orders and failed to make proper regulations related to investigating, preventing, and reporting child in particular regarding the Plaintiff.
- 29. The Defendants failed to oversee the activities of numerous staff members, including supervisors, employed by the Defendant.
- 30. The Defendant permitted and failed to prevent negligent or other tortious conduct by persons, including its staff, upon its premises and with instrumentalities under his control.

- 31. At all times relevant hereto, all treatment and counseling provided to Plaintiff and supervision of Plaintiff was under the direction and supervision of Defendant VisionQuest National LTD, acting through its agents, employees, servants, workman, and/or independent contractors, including, but not limited to, counselors.
- 32. Defendant had a duty to enact and maintain policies, procedures, practices, and/or guidelines ("policies", collectively) to ensure that juveniles, such as Plaintiff, received the appropriate and necessary treatment from his assigned counselor.
- 33. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant had a duty to adequately screen, train, supervise, and discipline employees and prospective employees who would be assigned duties wherein they would treat or supervise juveniles, like Plaintiff.
- 34. The incidents mentioned in the previous paragraphs were due solely or partially to the negligence, carelessness, recklessness, and/or other liability-producing conduct of Defendant in the following particulars:
 - a. In failing to adequately promulgate policies for the screening of prospective and/or hired employees for their fitness to perform treatment to Plaintiff for Defendant and their capacity to use reasonable care to safeguard the health, safety, and welfare of the individuals to whom they were assigned to treat;
 - b. In failing to adequately screen prospective and/or hired employees for their fitness to perform treatment to Plaintiff for Defendant and their capacity to use reasonable care to safeguard the health, safety, and welfare of the individuals to whom they were assigned to treat;

- c. In failing to adequately promulgate policies for the training of employees hired to treat patients to use reasonable care to safeguard the health, safety, and welfare of the patients to whom they were assigned to provide care for;
- d. In failing to adequately supervise employees hired to treat patients as to their use of reasonable care in safeguarding the health, safety, and welfare of the individuals to whom they were assigned to treat;
- e. In failing to adequately discipline employees hired to treat patients as to their use of reasonable care in safeguarding the health, safety, and welfare of the individuals to whom they were assigned to provide care for;
- f. In failing to adequately promulgate and enforce policies so as to prevent the sexual abuse of its patients caused by its own employees, agents, and staff hired to treat patients; and
- g. In failing to have and/or enforce policies, procedures, protocols, or guidelines necessary for the safety of patients such as Plaintiff.
- 35. As the sole, direct, legal, and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant, as aforesaid, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries aforementioned in the preceding paragraphs.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Foster demands judgement against Defendant, VisionQuest National LTD, for an amount in excess of the applicable Arbitration Limits, plus costs and interest for all types of damages legally recoverable including but not limited to compensatory, special, exemplary, and punitive damages.

Count 3- Respondeat Superior (Foster v. VisionQuest)

36. Plaintiff hereby incorporate all preceding paragraphs by reference as if set forth fully herein.

- 37. The Defendant and its staff members had a duty to report child abuse when a reasonable belief exists it occurred, and the Defendant breached this duty and failed to notify the proper authorities about the Plaintiff's abuse as required by 55 Pa.C.S.A. §3680 <u>et seq</u>; 55 Pa.C.S.A. §3800 <u>et seq</u>; and all other applicable child abuse reporting requirement laws related to mandatory abuse reporters and applicable to Defendant's facility.
- 38. At all times relevant hereto, employees and staff members implicated in the prior paragraphs were acting under the exclusive control, direction, and supervision of Defendant when the negligent acts alleged took place.
- 39. Employees, supervisors, and staff members engaged in the activity described in prior paragraphs while acting in the course and scope of their employment with Defendant by working with the juveniles at the facility.
- 40. While serving as servants and agents of Defendant, the supervisors, employees, and staff members implicated by the allegations in the prior paragraphs caused the Plaintiff to suffer the child abuse alleged herein by virtue of their job-created authority.
- 41. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant is liable for the negligent, reckless, careless, and/or intentional conduct of the employees under the theory of vicarious liability, including the doctrine of Respondent Superior.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Foster demands judgement against Defendant, VisionQuest National LTD, for an amount in excess of the applicable Arbitration Limits, plus costs and interest for all types of damages legally recoverable including but not limited to compensatory, special, exemplary, and punitive damages.

Respectfully Submitted,

D. Wesley Cornish, Esquire Cornerstone Legal Group, LLC 230 South Broad Street, 17th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Phone: 888-313-1385 Supreme Court # 310865

Date: April 14, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by: Cornerstone Legal Group, LLC Name: David Wesley Cornish, Esquire Attorney No.: 310865 Signature: Date: April 14, 2022
<u>VERIFICATION</u> The facts set forth in the foregoing Motion are true and correct to the best of the undersigned's knowledge, information and belief and are verified subject to the penalties for unsworn falsification to authorities and perjury pursuant to state and United States law.
Submitted by: Cornerstone Legal Group, LLC Name: David Wesley Cornish, Esquire Attorney No.: 310865 Signature: Date: April 14, 2022
The undersigned hereby certifies that this day of, 20 a true and correct copy of the foregoing <i>Complaint</i> has been served via electronic/mail upon the following:
Gerald Valentini, Esq. Deasey, Mahoney, and Valentini 1601 Market Street, Suite 3400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

D. Wesley Cornish, Esquire Cornerstone Legal Group, LLC 230 South Broad Street, 17th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Phone: 888-313-1385 Supreme Court # 310865 **Date**: April 14, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,